

5th Annual Market Transformation Conference August 23, 2016 2:00 – 3:30 Breakout #1

ESPC Case Study

Fayette County Detention Center

James Bush

Program Manager Sr, Energy Initiatives
Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government



Background

Detention Center constructed in 1999

Increasing failure rate of M&E equipment

Decrease in maintenance staff

 Service contracts for chiller system, server room and kitchen refrigeration

Utilities about \$1M per year
 \$250k gas, \$250k water, \$500k elec



Options

In-House Repair

 Design + Bid + Build Individual procurement Multiple consultants/contractors Duplicity (project overlap) Hypothetical impact

Performance-Based Contracting (KRS 45A)
 RFQ for design/build contractor
 Open book pricing, project selection
 Sole responsibility (secure facility)
 Whole-building approach
 Energy savings are guaranteed



Upfront time commitment by contractor
 Qualifications submittal
 Facility energy audits
 Proposal development

- Requires annual utilities > \$1M
 Savings pay for project (~20% typical)
 Term 10 25 years (lighting to HVAC)
- No guarantee of award



Incentive

RFQ → Short List (2)
 50/50 chance of award

- Letter of Engagement → IGA
 3 months to prepare detailed proposal
 Projects of interest to Lexington
 Desired range of term & price
- Comparative Proposals → Award



Upfront

Model IGA

search "model instruments"

- Model Contract
- Contract term (10 − 15 years)
- Annual Savings > Annual Costs

 $$1M \times 20\% \times 10yr = ~$2M \text{ contract}$ (actual \$3M over 12 years)



Special Terms

- Desired Projects
- Rebates & Grants
- Construction Period
- Standards of Comfort
- Savings Category
 Utilities, Labor, Materials, Future Capital
- Fuel Escalation
 Rates in 2024 ???



Timeline

2013

Core team & procurement strategy RFQ preparation

2014

JAN advertised RFQ (5 submittals)

APR selected two ESCOs

JUN – AUG facility energy audits

SEP review of proposals

OCT contract negotiation **

DEC approved on both sides



Proposal

(Selected) Project Scope

10 conservation measures
Focus on lighting, HVAC and controls
\$3M cost with M&V
\$219,000 first year utility savings
30% reduction gas & electric



Financing

Self Financed

Low interest bonds (QECB) – 94%

\$100,000 grant from state – 3%

Existing funds – 3%



Summary

Equipment approaching end of service life

- Collaborative approach
- Cost-effective means of replacement
- Reduce maintenance & utilities
- Modernized equipment
- Performance guarantee
 3-year M&V by ESCO included



Preliminary Results

Installation: Feb 16, 2015

Accepted: Apr 8, 2016

Weather Normalized Comparison

kWh	6,817,615	5,298,992	-22.3
Mcf	42,451	25,205	-40.6

NORM – 06A Report

12 months starting July, 2012 vs 2015

